The purpose of this worksheet is to provide support for investigators conducting an emergency use of unapproved drug, biologic, or device in a life-threatening situation, and to provide support Designated Reviewers reviewing such uses. This worksheet is to be used when overseeing such uses. It does not need to be completed or retained. (LAR = “subject’s Legally Authorized Representative”)

### Emergency Use of an Unapproved Drug or Biologic¹

1. **Exemption Criteria for Emergency Use of an Unapproved Drug or Biologic** (Check if “Yes”. All must be checked)
   - The patient is (was) confronted by a disease or condition that is (was) either:
     - Life-threatening (diseases or conditions where the likelihood of death is high unless the course of the disease is interrupted and diseases or conditions with potentially fatal outcomes, where the end point of clinical trial analysis is survival).
     - Severely debilitating (diseases or conditions that cause major irreversible morbidity).
   - The situation necessitates (necessitated) the use of the investigational drug or biologic.
   - No generally acceptable alternative for treating the patient is (was) available.
   - There is (was) insufficient time to obtain IRB approval.
   - The treating physician will document (has documented) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
   - The FDA has (had) issued an IND or will authorize (has authorized) shipment of the test article in advance of the IND submission.
   - The use is (was) NOT subject to DHHS regulation See HRP-310 WORKSHEET: Human Research.

2. **Consent criteria** (Check if “Yes”. All must be checked)
   - Informed consent will be (was) sought from the patient or the patient’s LAR, in accordance with and to the extent required by 21 CFR §50. See HRP-314 WORKSHEET: Criteria for Approval.
   - Informed consent will be (was) documented using HRP-506 CONSENT DOCUMENT: Emergency Use in accordance with and to the extent required by 21 CFR §50.27. See HRP-314 WORKSHEET: Criteria for Approval.

3. **Exception Criteria for Consent** (Check if “Yes”. All must be checked)
   - The patient is (was) confronted by a life-threatening situation necessitating the use of the test article.
   - Informed consent cannot (could not) be obtained from the patient because of an inability to communicate with, or obtain legally effective consent from, the patient.
   - Time is (was) insufficient to obtain consent from the patient’s LAR.
   - There is (was) no available alternative method of approved or generally recognized therapy that provides an equal or greater likelihood of saving the life of the patient.
   - The treating physician will document (has documented) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
   - The treating physician will report (has reported) the use to the IRB within 5 working days with documentation that the above findings were met.
   - A physician uninvolved in the clinical investigation will certify (has certified) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
   - If certification took place after the use of the drug or biologic, all of the following are true: ("N/A" if certification took place before the use)
     - Immediate use of the test article is (was), in the investigator’s opinion, required to preserve the life of the patient.
     - Time is (was) insufficient time to obtain the independent determination a physician uninvolved in the clinical investigation.
     - The treating physician will document (has documented) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
     - The treating physician report to the IRB within 5 working days will document that the above findings were met.

---

¹ Emergency use of an unapproved drug or biologic is a clinical investigation and must comply with 21 CFR §50 and 21 CFR §56.
### Emergency Use of an Unapproved Device

#### Criteria for Emergency Use of an Unapproved Device (Check if “Yes” or “N/A”. All must be checked)

- [ ] The patient is (was) confronted by a life-threatening disease or a serious condition requiring immediate use of the device.
- [ ] The situation necessitates (necessitated) the immediate use of the device.
- [ ] No generally acceptable alternative for treating the patient is (was) available.
- [ ] There is (was) insufficient time to use existing procedures to obtain FDA approval of an IDE.
- [ ] There is (was) substantial reason to believe that benefits will (would) exist.
- [ ] The treating physician will document (has documented) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
- [ ] The treating physician will report (has reported) the use to the IRB within 5 working days with documentation that the above findings were met.
- [ ] A physician uninvolved in the emergency use will certify (has certified) in the medical record that the above findings were met.
- [ ] One of the following is true:
  - [ ] There is (was) no IDE.
  - [ ] The treating physician wants (wanted) to use the device in a way not approved under an existing IDE.
  - [ ] The treating physician is (was) not part of the IDE study.
- [ ] One of the following is true:
  - [ ] There is an IDE and the treating physician has (had) authorization from the sponsor.
  - [ ] There is no IDE and the treating physician will notify (has notified) FDA of the emergency use within 5 working days.
- [ ] The treating physician will follow (has followed) the procedures below if time permits (check all that apply):
  - [ ] Concurrence of the IRB Chair.
  - [ ] Informed consent from the patient or LAR.
  - [ ] Clearance from the institution as specified by policy.

The use is (was) **NOT** subject to DHHS regulation See HRP-310 WORKSHEET: Human Research Determination.
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